

REMARKS

The Official Action of September 28, 2004, and the prior art cited and relied upon therein have been carefully studied. The claims in the application are now claims 1-3, and these claims define patentable subject matter warranting their allowance. Favorable reconsideration and such allowance are respectfully urged.

Claims 1-3 remain in the application for consideration.

In response to the Examiner's objection to the drawings, Applicant has enclosed a new substitute Fig. 1 indicating that it is prior art. Applicant believes that this objection has now been overcome.

In response to the Examiner's objection to the specification, Applicant has enclosed a new substitute specification as required by the Examiner. Also enclosed is the original specification showing deletions and additions resulting in the new substitute specification. The undersigned submits that no new matter is included in the enclosed substitute specification, and that this objection has now been overcome.

In response to the Examiner's objection and rejection of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, Applicant has amended the claims to eliminate each

Appln. No. 10/669,681  
Amdt. dated December 28, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of September 28, 2004

of the problems identified by the Examiner. Applicant respectfully submits that this objection and rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112 has now been overcome.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for his indication that claims 1-3 would be allowable subject to overcoming his 35 U.S.C. §112 rejection. Applicant having overcome that rejection as noted above respectfully submits that claims 1-3 are now allowable and that this application is now in condition for allowance.

Acknowledgement by the PTO of the receipt of applicants' papers filed under Section 119 is noted.

The prior art documents made of record and not relied upon have been noted along with the implication that such documents are deemed by the PTO to be insufficiently pertinent to warrant their applications against any of applicant's claims.

Favorable reconsideration and allowance are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By N-J-L  
Norman J. Latker  
Registration No. 19,963

NJL:ma  
Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197  
Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528  
G:\BN\W\Nils\Fukumori2\PTO\Amendment-A.doc

Appln. No. 10/669,681  
Amdt. dated December 28, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of September 28, 2004

**Amendments to the Drawings**

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to Fig. 1. This sheet, which includes Fig. 1 only, replaces the original sheet including Fig. 1. In Fig. 1, the "PRIOR ART" designation has been added.

Attachments:

Replacement Drawing Sheet (page 56)  
Annotated Drawing Sheet showing Changes (page 57)